



Patent Attorney Docket: 249/127

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of:	) Group Art Unit: 1634
Dr. Paddy Jim Baggot	) Examiner: Diana B. Johannsen
Serial No.: 09/499,006	
Filed: February 4, 2000	) AR 29 5 5
For: TREATMENT OF CHROMOSOMAL ABNORMALITIES IN FETUSES THROUGH A COMPREHENSIVE METABOLIC ANALYSIS OF AMNIOTIC FLUID	

## TRANSMITTAL LETTER

Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

Enclosed herewith is a Response to Election/Restriction Requirement and Supplemental Record of Substance of Interview in reference to the above-identified case.

Dated: March 15, 2002

By: 

Polaphat Veravanich Reg. No. 45,179

Carried Respectfully submitted,

LYON & LYON LLP

Polaphat Veravanich Reg. No. 45,179

Los Angeles, California 90071-2066
(213) 489-1600 or (949) 567-2300

OC-105958.1

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING (37 C.F.R. §1.8a)

I hereby certify that this paper (along with any referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being deposited with the United States Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231.

March 15, 2002

Date of Deposit

Adriana Mojarro



#13/ Election
4/3
PATENT
249/127

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

10C	
In re the Application of:	) Group Art Unit: 1634
Dr. Paddy Jim BAGGOT	) Examiner: Diana B. Johannsen
Serial No.: 09/499,006	) )
Filed: February 4, 2000	
For: TREATMENT OF CHROMOSOMAL ABNORMALITIES IN FETUSES THROUGH A COMPREHENSIVE METABOLIC ANALYSIS OF AMNIOTIC FLUID	
RESPONSE TO ELECTION/RESTRICTION RECORD OF SUBSTA	ON REQUIREMENT AND SUPPLEMENTAL ANCE OF INTERVIEW
Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231	
Dear Sir:	
In response to the Election/Restriction Re	equirement mailed February 26, 2002, Applicant
elects without traverse Group II. Applicant also	provisionally elects the species of claim 23, the
	TE OF MAILING
•	F.R. §1.8a)
I hereby certify that this paper (along with any referred to United States Postal Service on the date shown below with addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, Washington, I	a sufficient postage as that Class Wan in an envelope
	Adriana Mojarro
	Name of Person Mailing Paper
Date of Deposit	Signature of Person Maring Paper

claims readable thereon being generic claim 15, generic claim 21, and species claim 23. Claims 17, 18, 19, and 20 are also readable upon the elected claims.

Additionally, Applicant notes that the Interview Summary provided by the Examiner is accurate. Because the Examiner did not check the box on form PTO-413 dated October 10, 2001, indicating that Applicant did not need to provide a separate record of the interview, Applicant provides the following record of the substance of the interview of October 10, 2001.

On October 10, 2001, a telephonic interview was conducted involving Examiner Diana Johannsen, Applicant Paddy Jim Baggot, and Applicant's representative, Paul Veravanich. All of the then pending claims were discussed, as well as a reference by Galjaard (Bailliere's Clin. Obst. Gyn. 1(3): 547-567 [9/1987]). Proposed amendments to the then pending claims were discussed, but Examiner Johannsen indicated that the scope of the claims was still unclear to her. Mr. Veravanich noted that one embodiment of the application is directed to methods of comparing patterns of metabolite levels in normal individuals with patterns of metabolite levels in individuals known to have a chromosomal abnormality, and using that comparison to identify the presence of a chromosomal abnormality in a patient. Dr. Baggot explained that the invention is generally directed to a method that requires detection of a plurality of metabolites in order to provide a comprehensive picture of the state of a patient, and that the comprehensive picture is usable to diagnose the presence of a chromosomal abnormality in the patient. Examiner Johannsen noted that claims drawn to the detection of particular, novel combinations of metabolites, such as, e.g., the combination of claim 4, as indicators of Down Syndrome could be considered after final, and might be allowable pending an updated search. Examiner Johannsen further noted that claims requiring detection of a particular number of metabolites would require a search of each possible combination to determine novelty and nonobviousness. Agreement was not reached with respect to the claims.

In addition to providing the preceding summary of the interview of October 10, 2001,

Applicant incorporates by reference the Examiner's Interview Summary dated October 10, 2001.

Respectfully submitted, LYON & LYON LLP

Dated:

3/15/02

By:

Polaphat Veravanich Reg. No. 45,179

633 West Fifth Street, Suite 4700 Los Angeles, California 90071-2066 (949) 567-2300 or (213) 489-1600